REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action issued on January 3, 2006 in the

above-referenced application. There are twenty-five claims pending in the current application,

including independent Claims 1 and 23. Claims 1-22 stand rejected as indefinite for failing to

indicate steps that are specifically directed to x-ray crystallography sample handling. Claim 13

also stands rejected as indefinite for inconsistent use of nomenclature for the injector. Claim 21

also stands rejected as indefinite for ambiguity in the term "axially." Claims 1-5, and 9-25 stand

rejected as obvious over David et al. (US 2002/0189529), in view of Official Notice regarding

closing the ends of the capillaries.

Claims 6 and 24 stand rejected as obvious over David in view of Kimel (US 6,551,464).

Claims 7-8 and 25 stand rejected as obvious over David in view of Weigl et al. (US

2002/0025279). Claims 11-12 and 22 stand rejected as obvious over David in view of McDevitt

et al. (US 2002/0160363). Claims 16-19 stand rejected as obvious over David in view of Corbett

et al. (US 5,270,183). Applicants thank the Examiner for a very thorough review of the present

application.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 112

Regarding the indefiniteness rejections of the Claims, Claim 1 is herein amended to recite

"introducing plural fluid segments into the capillary tube, wherein the plural fluid segments

comprise reagents for protein crystallography," which is believed to address the Examiner's first

concern regarding indefiniteness of Claims 1-22. Claim 13 is also amended to change all usage

of "ejector" to "injector" for consistency in that claim. Finally, Claim 21 is amended to delete

the word "axially." These amendments are believed to resolve and overcome the rejections

based on 35 U.S.C. § 112. Applicants thank Examiner Levkovich for his assistance in clarifying

these claims.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-7-

David et al.

The primary reference that forms the basis for all of the substantive rejections of the

Claims is David et al. (which has now issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,719,840). Without addressing

the substance of the rejection, it is noted that David et al. has an earliest priority date of June 8,

2001, and published on December 19, 2002. The present application has an earliest priority date

of October 30, 2002, prior to the publication date of David et al.

It is further noted that David et al. issued with 36 Claims, all of which are directed to "A

method for determining crystallization conditions for a protein," whereas the claims of the

present application are directed to "A method of preparing and handling" protein samples (claims

1022), or a reagent sample (claims 23-25). Therefore, David et al. clearly does not claim the

same subject matter as the present application.

Enclosed with this amendment is a Declaration of Inventors Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131,

with an attached section of a "Research Plan," that is dated in the footer "Ref. 05/01"

(May, 2001). This Research Plan was used to draft the present application, as will be

appreciated for example by comparing Figure D.1. on page 131 of the Research Plan with

Figure 6 in the present application. The Inventors' Declaration establishes that this version of the

Research Plan was prepared at least as early as May, 2001, which is before the publication date

of David et al.

The Research Plan discloses the method of preparing and handling protein samples or

reagent samples claimed in the present application. For example, Claim 1 of the present

application (as amended herein) recites:

1. A method of preparing and handling protein samples for x-ray

crystallography studies of protein crystals in the samples, comprising:

providing a capillary tube having a sidewall and open ends;

introducing plural fluid segments into the capillary tube, wherein the plural

fluid segments comprise reagents for protein crystallography;

closing the ends of the capillary tube to seal the tube; and

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLE 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-8-

viewing and evaluating the fluid segments while they are in the sealed tube.

This method is disclosed throughout the Research Plan. The following specific citations

to particular paragraphs in the Research Plan are intended to assist the Examiner, and are not

intended to imply any limitation to the claims.

Page 131, section D.3. describes the filling of capillaries, using piezo-dispensers, and

specifically describes a "tape and real" approach utilizing a continuous belt of capillary tubes.

The paragraph on page 131 headed "Capillary Sealing" discloses sealing the capillaries after

filling, using any of a variety of methods including "thermal fusion, ultrasonic welding or

polymer pugs, among others." Page 127 of the Research Plan, in the paragraph starting in the

middle of the page states, "We solved this problem by dividing the dispensed protein volume

into several bursts of ~400 droplets and literately firing the droplets and sucking the fluid into the

capillary with the internal piezoelectric disc in the mixing head." Therefore, the Research Plan

discloses introducing plural fluid segments into the capillary tube. On page 132 of the Research

Plan, the paragraphs titled "Cryofreezing/Storage," and "X-ray Diffraction Interface" indicate the

fluid segments are viewed and evaluated in the tube.

Because the invention disclosed in the present application was made by the inventors

prior to the publication of David et al. (or anybody else), and the claims of the present

application are directed to subject matter different from the subject matter claimed by

David et al., the applicants have established prior inventorship under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131, and

David et al. is believed not to be prior art to the present application.

As noted above, the Research Plan revision date is May, 2001, and a provisional patent

application was filed on October 30, 2002. It will be appreciated that the Research Plan was

prepared as part of an effort to obtain funding for further developing this technology, and that the

funding process for such research can be relatively lengthy. The invention disclosure was

submitted to the Technology Transfer Office at the University of Washington, which processed

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-9-

and evaluated the disclosure, before sending the disclosure to an outside patent attorney for

preparation and filing.

In view of the nature of the research, and the administrative hurdles required in an

academic institution to proceed with a patent application, it is submitted that there was no undue

delay in filing the patent application, and the inventors proceeded with due diligence.

Other Amendments

Claim 2 is cancelled and Claim 3 is slightly amended, to improve the clarity of the

claims. Minor typographical corrections to the paragraph beginning at line 4, on page 6, are also

submitted for consideration by the Examiner.

CONCLUSION

The Examiner's comments regarding the clarity of the claims have been considered, and

addressed in clarifying amendments to the claims. A declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 is also

submitted, swearing behind David et al., which is cited as the primary reference to all of the

claims. Entry of the amendments and a withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned directly if there are any questions or

issues that may be productively dealt with over the phone.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR

JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

Ryan El Dodge, Jr.

Registration No. 42,492

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1724

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to **Mail Stop Amendment**, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Data

June 29, 2006

Rynn Zut

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100